

GENERALIZED TOPOLOGICAL SIGMA MODEL

Kelly Jay Davis

Rutgers University
Serin Physics Laboratory
Piscataway, NJ 08855

ABSTRACT

In this article we will examine a “generalized topological sigma model.” This so-called “generalized topological sigma model” is the M-Theoretic analog of the standard topological sigma model of string theory. We find that the observables of the theory are elements in the cohomology ring of the moduli space of supersymmetric maps; in addition, we find that the correlation functions of such observables allow us to compute non-perturbative corrections to the four-fermion terms present in M-Theory on a six-dimensional Calabi-Yau.

1. Introduction

In this article we will derive the existence of, and examine the properties of, a “generalized topological sigma model.” This so-called “generalized topological sigma model” which we will examine is the M-Theoretic analog of the standard topological sigma model encountered in string theory. So, in particular, it is associated with a two-brane instead of a one-brane as arises in the standard topological sigma model. In addition, the world-volume action of our generalized topological sigma model is quite a bit different from that encountered in the standard topological sigma model. However, we will find that many of the generic properties of the generalized topological sigma model are very similar to those of the standard topological sigma model, and it is these similarities which will allow us to better understand the properties of the generalized topological sigma model and the relation of these properties to M-Theory.

As one will recall [7], the standard topological sigma model is a two-dimensional field theory which describes the dynamics of a map from the two-dimensional world-sheet to a six-dimensional target space. This model can be derived by two different, yet equivalent methods. The first is by “twisting” the standard sigma model [7]. The second is by simply postulating a two-dimensional action, then gauge fixing this action to prove it is equivalent to the above “twisted” action [4]. Both of these methods have their strengths and weaknesses. However, we will rely only upon this second method as its analog will occur in the generalized context with which we are concerned.

Upon deriving the action of the standard topological sigma model, as one will recall [7], it is possible to employ this action to calculate the Yukawa couplings of the full, “untwisted” string theory. This is a relatively novel result. One can calculate a complicated result, the Yukawa couplings, in a string theory by examining a marginally related theory, the standard topological sigma model. In addition, these string theoretic results tie in very nicely with Gromov theory [2], and both string theory and Gromov theory benefit from this interaction. At best, we could hope that the generalized topological sigma model, which we examine here, will bear similar fruit.

As we remarked earlier, the action for our generalized topological sigma model will arise by way of an educated guess. More to the point, this educated guess will arise upon closely examining the low-energy effective world-volume action of the M-Theoretic two-brane. This action admits certain field configurations which globally minimize the action, so-called *instantons*. Furthermore, the lower bound on this action is provided by

an “action-like” integral. It is this “action-like” integral which we shall take as the action for our generalized topological sigma model. This action selection process is not as strange as it first may seem. A similar process applied to the standard sigma model leads to the standard topological sigma model action. In addition, applying this process to the Yang-Mills action leads to the action for topological Yang-Mills theory. So, in defining the action of the generalized topological sigma model in this manner, we are actually in good company.

Our next step is to then examine the generalized topological sigma model action in a bit more detail. Our first step in this direction is to gauge fix the action obtained by the above action selection process. To achieve this goal we will employ the now standard BV method of gauge fixing [3]. This will provide us with a new, gauge fixed version of the generalized topological sigma model action. It is from this gauge fixed action that we may derive “physical” implications. We will find that the observables associated with this gauge fixed action arise in a manner very similar to the observables of the standard topological sigma model. In addition, we will also find that the observables of the generalized topological sigma model have correlation functions which may be computed in a manner very similar to that encountered in the standard topological sigma model. Finally, upon examining how to compute the correlation functions of various observables in the generalized topological sigma model, we will examine the “physical” relevance of such correlation functions to five dimensional M-Theory.

2. Generalized Topological Sigma Model

In this section we will derive the existence of, and the properties of, the generalized topological sigma model. Our first goal, which we pursue in the next subsection, is to derive the action of the generalized topological sigma model. After identifying the action of this model, we will then proceed to examine various properties of this action. So, let us now begin our examination of the generalized topological sigma model.

2.1. Generalized Topological Sigma Model Action

In this subsection we will derive the action of the generalized topological sigma model. Our plan of “action,” as outlined in the introduction, is as follows: We will examine the low-energy effective world-volume action of the M-Theoretic two-brane to determine a lower-bound on the value of this action. This lower-bound will appear in the form of an

“action-like” integral. This integral will be our action for this generalized topological sigma model. Let us now begin with this derivation.

Consider the two-brane of M-Theory. By way of early results in eleven-dimensional supergravity [1], we may write down the low-energy effective world-volume action of this two-brane. It is [5],

$$S = \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \int_{\Sigma_3} d^3\sigma \sqrt{h} \left(\frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^M \partial_\beta X^N g_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} - i \bar{\Theta} \Gamma^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \Theta \right. \quad (2.1) \\ \left. + \frac{i}{3!} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_{MNP} \partial_\alpha X^M \partial_\beta X^N \partial_\gamma X^P + \dots \right),$$

where L_P is the eleven-dimensional Plank’s length, Σ_3 the world-volume, $h_{\alpha\beta}$ the world-volume metric, X^M a map from Σ_3 to the eleven-dimensional target, g_{MN} the eleven-dimensional metric, Θ an eleven-dimensional Driac spinor, Γ^M eleven-dimensional Driac matrices, and A_{MNP} is the M-Theoretic three-form. This action admits two-different fermionic symmetries. The first of these two symmetries is given by the following transformations [5],

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\epsilon \Theta &= \epsilon, \\ \delta_\epsilon X^M &= i \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma^M \Theta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where ϵ is a constant eleven-dimensional Driac spinor. This symmetry is simply a reflection of the fact that the target space theory, M-Theory in this case, is supersymmetric. The second of these two symmetries is the so-called κ -symmetry. It is defined by the following transformations [5],

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\kappa \Theta &= 2P_+ \kappa(\sigma), \\ \delta_\kappa X^M &= 2i \bar{\Theta} \Gamma^M P_+ \kappa(\sigma), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\kappa(\sigma)$ is an eleven-dimensional Driac spinor which is not necessarily constant and [5]

$$P_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{i}{3!} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\alpha X^M \partial_\beta X^N \partial_\gamma X^P \Gamma_{MNP} \right) \quad (2.4)$$

are projection operators. Now, the question we wish to answer about this action is: What are the field configurations which minimize the action? In other words, what are the instantons of this theory?

The trivial answer to this question is relatively easy to come by. The field configuration $X^M(\sigma) = x^M$ and $\Theta = 0$ has a vanishing action and is a minimum. However, as we shall see, there also exist non-trivial minima of the action S . These may be found by looking a bit closer at the action S . The first two terms of the action S classically reduce to the volume of the world-volume. In addition, as any minimum of the action is a classical solution, for our minima we may assume that the first two terms of the action S simply yield the volume of the world-volume. Also, the fourth term in the action may be written as follows,

$$\frac{1}{3!} \int_{\Sigma_3} d^3\sigma \sqrt{h} (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\alpha X^M \partial_\beta X^N \partial_\gamma X^P A_{MNP}) = \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(A), \quad (2.5)$$

where $X^*(A)$ is the pull-back to Σ_3 of A by way of X . This implies that this fourth term only depends upon the homotopy class of X and the cohomology class of¹ A . Hence, within a given homotopy class $[X]$ and for a fixed A , the fourth term in the action is independent of the X we choose to represent $[X]$. The remaining terms in the action all contain fermions; thus, we can, for the moment, ignore them for our present purpose. So, from the above arguments we may see that to minimize S in a given homotopy class $[X]$ we must minimize the volume of Σ_3 . Now, for a given $[X]$ let us examine what conditions are imposed upon X representing $[X]$ so that X minimizes the volume of Σ_3 .

As we wish to examine, in addition to the trivial case of $X^M(\sigma) = x^M$, non-trivial field configurations $X^M(\sigma) \neq x^M$, we must establish some means of obtaining non-trivial homotopy classes $[X]$. This can be done by giving the two-brane Σ_3 something to wrap about. We will achieve this by assuming the target manifold X_{11} is of the following form,

$$X_{11} \cong M_5 \times X_6, \quad (2.6)$$

where M_5 is a Minkowski five-manifold and X_6 is a six-dimensional Calabi-Yau. If we take X_{11} to be of this form, then we may wrap Σ_3 completely about the homology of X_6 to obtain non-trivial homotopy classes $[X]$. With this form for X_{11} , let us now consider what conditions are imposed upon X representing $[X]$ so that it minimizes the volume of Σ_3 .

To obtain the constraints imposed upon a X which minimize the volume of Σ_3 we will consider the following inequality,

¹ Note, [5] A must be closed to define a supersymmetric compactification of M-Theory, and we will assume that A is indeed closed.

$$\int_{\Sigma_3} d^3\sigma \sqrt{h} \left(\bar{\epsilon}_\theta P_-^\dagger P_- \epsilon_\theta \right) \geq 0 \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\epsilon_\theta = (e^{i\theta} \epsilon_+ + e^{-i\theta} \epsilon_-) \quad (2.8)$$

and $\epsilon_\pm$ are covariantly constant spinors of opposite chirality on X_6 which are complex conjugates of one another $\epsilon_+ = (\epsilon_-)^*$ and $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$. One can always normalize $\epsilon_\pm$ such that [5],

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{mnp} \epsilon_+ &= e^{-\mathcal{K}} \Omega_{mnp} \epsilon_-, \\ \gamma_{\bar{m}np} \epsilon_+ &= 2i J_{\bar{m}[n} \gamma_{p]} \epsilon_+, \\ \gamma_{\bar{m}} \epsilon_+ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where Ω is the holomorphic three-form on X_6 , J is the Kahler form on X_6 , γ_m and $\gamma_{\bar{m}}$ are gamma matrices on X_6 , and $\mathcal{K}$ is a function given by,

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}} = -\log \left(i \int_{X_6} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \right) \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}} = -\log \left(\frac{4}{3} \int_{X_6} J \wedge J \wedge J \right). \quad (2.12)$$

These normalization conditions and our inequality imply,

$$V(\Sigma_3) \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-\mathcal{K}} \left(e^{i\phi} \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) + e^{-i\phi} \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\bar{\Omega}) \right), \quad (2.13)$$

where $\phi = 2\theta + \pi/2$ and $V(\Sigma_3)$ is the volume of Σ_3 . Adjusting ϕ to maximize the right-hand side, one has,

$$V(\Sigma_3) \geq e^{-\mathcal{K}} \left| \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) \right|. \quad (2.14)$$

So, the volume $V(\Sigma_3)$ of Σ_3 is bounded below by the above integral. This, in turn, implies that the action S is bounded below by,

$$|S| \geq \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \left(e^{-\kappa} \left| \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) \right| + \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(A) \right). \quad (2.15)$$

Furthermore, one may see, by way of our original inequality (2.7), the inequality (2.15) is saturated if, and only if,

$$P_- \epsilon_\theta = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

In other words, instantons of the two-brane world-volume satisfy $P_- \epsilon_\theta = 0$. As was proven previously [5], this occurs if, and only if, the following conditions are satisfied,

$$X^*(J) = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$X^*(\Omega) = e^{-i\phi} e^{\mathcal{K}} \epsilon, \quad (2.18)$$

where ϵ is a volume element on Σ_3 . Now, as we mentioned earlier, we will use this “action-like” lower bound as the action for the generalized topological sigma model. So, with this in mind, we define the generalized topological sigma model as the world-volume theory with the following action,

$$S_0 = \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \left(e^{-\kappa} \left| \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) \right| + i \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(A) \right). \quad (2.19)$$

Next, let us examine in detail the properties of the theory defined by the above action.

2.2. Generalized Topological Sigma Model

In this subsection we will examine in detail the properties of the theory defined by the generalized topological sigma model action,

$$S_0 = \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \left(e^{-\kappa} \left| \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) \right| + i \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(A) \right). \quad (2.20)$$

So, let us begin.

2.2.1 Gauge Fixing

First, we will examine why such an action is considered “topological.” This is rather easy to understand. Consider the generalized topological sigma model action above. Rather obviously, none of the terms in the action involve the metric $h_{\alpha\beta}$ on Σ_3 . So, the action, and the resultant theory², are independent of the world-volume metric. In addition to this “topological” property, the action also admits a “large” symmetry group involving X . The generalized topological sigma model action consists of various integrals with integrands of the form $X^*(\dots)$, where “ $\dots$ ” is some closed form on X_6 . So, this implies that the action, and thus the resultant theory, only depend upon the homotopy class $[X]$ of the map X . This, in turn, implies that the action of the generalized topological sigma model is invariant under arbitrary infinitesimal variations of X . This is indeed a “large” gauge symmetry, as advertised.

Our next goal is to gauge fix the generalized topological sigma model action. In gauge fixing this action, we will employ the now standard BV gauge fixing procedure [3]. The first step in this process is to introduce the correct field/anti-field content; we now proceed with this step. First, one introduces a Grassmann odd anti-field X_{3i}^* , where $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, at ghost number -1 , associated with the field X^i . We will take X_{3i}^* to be a section of $\Lambda^3(\Sigma_3)$; note, normally X_{3i}^* would be taken to be a section of $\Lambda^0(\Sigma_3)$, but we can take it to be a section of $\Lambda^3(\Sigma_3)$ by way of duality. We next introduce the fields and anti-fields associated with the gauge symmetries of S_0 . As the action S_0 is invariant with respect to arbitrary infinitesimal variations of X ,

$$\delta X^i = \delta_j^i \epsilon^j, \quad (2.21)$$

where δ_j^i is a delta function and ϵ^i is an arbitrary infinitesimal parameter, we must introduce a Grassmann odd field χ^i , a section of $X^*(TX_6)$, at ghost number 1. In addition, one should introduce the corresponding anti-field χ_{3i}^* , a section of $X^*(\Lambda^1(X_6)) \otimes \Lambda^3(\Sigma_3)$, which is Grassmann even and at ghost number -2 . Now, as the delta function δ_j^i appears in equation (2.21) and an arbitrary X solves the classical equations of motion³, the theory

² Mod any Chern-Simons-like “framing” effects.

³ S_0 only depends upon $[X]$.

is irreducible. Hence, one does not need to introduce any more fields or anti-fields. However, one could, and we will, introduce extra, non-minimal fields and anti-fields. Let us now define these non-minimal fields and anti-fields.

Generically, non-minimal fields and anti-fields must be introduced in groups of four. So, for example, one introduces the fields and anti-fields A , A^* , B , and B^* such that,

$$\begin{aligned} gh(A) + gh(A^*) &= -1 \\ gh(B) + gh(B^*) &= -1 \\ gh(A) - gh(B) &= -1, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where $gh(\dots)$ denotes ghost number. Specifically, we will introduce two such groups of four. The first group of four consists of two fields b_0 and C_0 which are world-volume scalars. C_0 is Grassmann odd and at ghost number -1 while b_0 is Grassmann even and at ghost number 0 . The anti-field corresponding to C_0 is C_3^* , a world-volume three-form⁴; C_3^* is Grassmann even at ghost number 0 . The anti-field corresponding to b_0 is b_3^* , a world-volume three-form. b_3^* is Grassmann odd at ghost number -1 . Our second group of four is similar to the first. The fields are E_1 , a one-form at ghost number -1 , and d_1 , a one-form at ghost number 0 . The anti-fields are E_2^* , a two-form at ghost number 0 , and d_2^* , a two-form at ghost number -1 . Also, all fields/anti-fields in this group with even ghost number are Grassmann even and all those with odd ghost number are Grassmann odd.

Now, let us find the “quantum action.” This is relatively easy to do in this case. One can first solve the master equation to obtain,

$$S = S_0 + \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \int_{\Sigma_3} C_3^* \wedge b_0 + E_2^* \wedge d_1 + X_{3i}^* \wedge \chi^i. \tag{2.23}$$

This proper solution to the master equation, as it turns out, is also a solution to the quantum master equation. So, our quantum action W is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} W = \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) & \left(e^{-\kappa} \left| \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) \right| + i \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(A) \right) + \\ & \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \int_{\Sigma_3} C_3^* \wedge b_0 + E_2^* \wedge d_1 + X_{3i}^* \wedge \chi^i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

⁴ Normally, C_3^* would be taken to be a world-volume scalar, but via duality we may consider it as three-form. Similar arguments also apply for subsequent field/anti-field pairs.

Now, to fix the final remaining gauge symmetry present in W we must introduce a Grassmann odd gauge fixing fermion,

$$\psi = \psi(X^i, \chi^i, b_0, C_0, d_1, E_1), \quad (2.25)$$

at ghost number -1 . With this gauge fixing fermion, one gauge fixes the quantum action W as follows,

$$W_\psi = \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3}\right) \left(e^{-\kappa} \left| \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) \right| + i \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(A) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3}\right) \int_{\Sigma_3} \left(\frac{\delta\psi}{\delta C_0} \wedge b_0 + \frac{\delta\psi}{\delta E_1} \wedge d_1 + \frac{\delta\psi}{\delta X^i} \wedge \chi^i \right). \quad (2.26)$$

Now, the question is, what gauge fixing fermion to choose? This is the question we will answer next.

To fix the final remaining gauge symmetry one must choose a gauge fixing fermion ψ such that it defines a Lagrangian submanifold of “field space.” In other words, one must choose ψ such that the zeros of the functions

$$\Omega_A \equiv \left(\Phi_A^* - \left(\frac{\delta\psi}{\delta\Phi^A} \right) \right), \quad (2.27)$$

where Φ^A is a generic field and Φ_A^* its anti-field, define a Lagrangian submanifold of “field space.” This occurs if the classical anti-bracket of Ω_A with Ω_B vanishes. One can see that this occurs for the following choice of ψ ,

$$\psi = \int_{\Sigma_3} C_0 \wedge (X^*(\Omega) - e^{-i\phi} e^{\mathcal{K}} \epsilon) + E_1 \wedge X^*(J), \quad (2.28)$$

where ϵ is a volume-element on Σ_3 . This gauge fixing fermion leads to the following gauge fixed quantum action,

$$\begin{aligned}
W_\psi = & \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \left(e^{-\mathcal{K}} \left| \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) \right| + i \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(A) \right) + \\
& \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \int_{\Sigma_3} \left((X^*(\Omega) - e^{-i\phi} e^{\mathcal{K}} \epsilon) \wedge b_0 + X^*(J) \wedge d_1 \right) + \\
& \left(\frac{1}{3!L_P^3} \right) \int_{\Sigma_3} \left(C_0 D_\alpha \chi^m \partial_\beta X^n \partial_\gamma X^p \Omega_{mnp} + C_0 \partial_\alpha X^m D_\beta \chi^n \partial_\gamma X^p \Omega_{mnp} + \right. \\
& C_0 \partial_\alpha X^m \partial_\beta X^n D_\gamma \chi^p \Omega_{mnp} + C_0 \partial_\alpha X^m \partial_\beta X^n \partial_\gamma X^p \partial_i \Omega_{mnp} \chi^i - \\
& \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\phi} e^{\mathcal{K}} C_0 (Det \partial_\alpha X^i \partial_\beta X^j g_{ij})^{1/2} \partial^\alpha X^i \partial^\beta X^j g_{ij} \\
& \left. \left(D_\alpha \chi^i \partial_\beta X^j g_{ij} + \partial_\alpha X^i D_\beta \chi^j g_{ij} + \partial_\alpha X^i \partial_\beta X^j \partial_k g_{ij} \chi^k \right) \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \right. \\
& 3E_{1\alpha} D_\beta \chi^m \partial_\gamma X^{\bar{n}} J_{m\bar{n}} + 3E_{1\alpha} \partial_\beta X^m D_\gamma \chi^{\bar{n}} J_{m\bar{n}} + \\
& \left. 3E_{1\alpha} \partial_\beta X^m \partial_\gamma X^{\bar{n}} \partial_i J_{m\bar{n}} \chi^i \right) d\sigma^\alpha \wedge d\sigma^\beta \wedge d\sigma^\gamma,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

where D is the properly twisted version of d , g_{ij} is the metric on X_6 , and $\epsilon_{123} = 1$. Now, let us examine this gauge fixed action.

2.2.2 Geometry of W_ψ

Upon looking at the above gauge fixed action, one may ascertain various interesting facts. First of all, the equation of motion for b_0 is

$$X^*(\Omega) - e^{-i\phi} e^{\mathcal{K}} \epsilon = 0 \tag{2.30}$$

and the equation of motion for d_1 is

$$X^*(J) = 0. \tag{2.31}$$

Now this is rather interesting, as the two above constraints are the same constraints we encountered earlier when we were characterizing instantons for the field X . So, in other words, we have found that classically X is an instanton in this theory. Now, looking at (2.29) the question may arise, what of χ^i , C_0 , and E_1 . These fields, however, take a bit more work than X .

As one can tell from the above quantum action, the equation of motion for C_0 enforces the constraint that χ^i lie in the cotangent space of an X satisfying equation (2.30). Similarly, the equation of motion for E_1 enforces the constraint that χ^i lie in the cotangent space of a X satisfying equation (2.31). Hence, the equations of motion for C_0 and E_1 together imply that classically χ^i is an element of the cotangent space of instanton moduli space. Next, let us see what the “topological” properties of this action imply about the above equations.

Looking at equation (2.26) one can see that it may be written in the following manner,

$$W_\psi = \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \left(e^{-\kappa} \left| \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) \right| + i \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(A) + L_P^3 \int_{\Sigma_3} Q\psi \right), \quad (2.32)$$

where Q is the BRST operator. Now, as the theory is independent of which gauge fixing fermion one chooses, we are free to choose instead of our gauge fixing fermion ψ the gauge fixing fermion $t\psi$, where t is a constant. This implies that the quantum action takes the form,

$$W_\psi = \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \left(e^{-\kappa} \left| \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(\Omega) \right| + i \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(A) + tL_P^3 \int_{\Sigma_3} Q\psi \right). \quad (2.33)$$

However, the theory is independent of the actual value of t as it is independent of which gauge fixing fermion one chooses. Thus, we are free to take $t \rightarrow \infty$. Doing so, we find that the above equations (2.30) and (2.31) along with the classical fact that χ^i is an element of the cotangent space of instanton moduli space all hold exactly in the quantum theory. Hence, χ^i in the path integral actually defines an element of the cotangent space of instanton moduli space and X is actually an instanton due to this localization.

2.2.3 Observables of W_ψ

Let us now examine the observables of this generalized topological sigma model. As is well known [3], observables of a BV gauge fixed theory are the BRST operator cohomology classes. In other words, an observable is a function of the theory’s fields which is Q closed, but not Q exact. In our particular case, it is possible to obtain a rich geometrical interpretation of such observables.

To do so, let us first note the action of Q on X . By way of the definition of Q , one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
QX &= (X, W) + \Delta X \\
&= (X, W) \\
&= \left(\frac{\chi}{L_P^3} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

where $(\ , \)$ denotes the anti-bracket and Δ is the standard “Delta” operator which occurs in the BV quantization scheme. Also, one should note that Q is nilpotent $Q^2(\cdot \cdot \cdot) = 0$ and that χ^i is an element of the cotangent bundle over instanton moduli space. Hence, all this together implies that we should think of Q as the deRham operator on instanton moduli space. Thus, as Q is the deRham operator on instanton moduli space and observables of the theory are Q cohomology classes, observables of the theory correspond to elements in the cohomology ring over instanton moduli space. Equivalently, one may refer to them as elements in the cohomology ring over the space of supersymmetric maps [5]. We prefer to think of them as elements in the cohomology ring over instanton moduli space as it does not introduce any extra nomenclature.

2.2.4 Correlation Functions of W_ψ

Now, as we know what the observables of the theory are, let us examine the correlation functions of such observables. Consider a group of such observables $\mathcal{O}_a$. As we know, $\mathcal{O}_a$ is a cohomology element on instanton moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ for maps of homotopy class $[X]$. We will assume $\mathcal{O}_a$ is a form of degree q_a . The correlation function of these observables taken in the sector of maps with homotopy class $[X]$ is,

$$\langle \prod_a \mathcal{O}_a \rangle_{[X]} = \int_{B_{[X]}} DX D\chi^i Db_0 DC_0 Dd_1 DE_1 e^{-W_\psi} \prod_a \mathcal{O}_a, \tag{2.35}$$

where $B_{[X]}$ is the set of maps with homotopy class $[X]$. Now, the $\mathcal{O}_a$ can always be made independent of the fields⁵ b_0 , C_0 , d_1 , and E_1 . So, in integrating over b_0 , C_0 , d_1 , and E_1 one does not have to worry about the $\mathcal{O}_a$. Thus, in integrating over b_0 , C_0 , d_1 , and E_1 one obtains,

$$\langle \prod_a \mathcal{O}_a \rangle_{[X]} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{[X]}} e^{-S_0} \prod_a \mathcal{O}_a, \tag{2.36}$$

⁵ As b_0 , C_0 , d_1 , and E_1 are non-minimal fields, all $\mathcal{O}_a$ can be made independent of these fields.

where we have employed the fact that by way of our $t \rightarrow \infty$ argument the path-integral is localized to instanton moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$. Now, as the $\mathcal{O}_a$ are elements in the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$, it must be that the product $\prod_a \mathcal{O}_a$ is a top-form on $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ or the above integral vanishes identically. Hence, to have a non-trivial integral one must have,

$$\sum_a q_a = \text{Dim}(\mathcal{M}_{[X]}). \quad (2.37)$$

But, we have seen that χ^i defines an element of the cotangent space of $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$. So, the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ is given by the dimension of the space of χ^i 's which satisfy the equations of motion for C_0 and E_1 . Let us denote the dimension of this space as $a_{[X]}$. However, looking at the equations of motion for both C_0 and E_1 one finds that generically they imply χ^i vanishes identically and thus $a_{[X]} = 0$. So, this implies $\text{Dim}(\mathcal{M}_{[X]}) = 0$. Thus, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ is simply a set of discrete points.

2.2.5 Correlation Function Example

Consider the evaluation map e defined by

$$\begin{aligned} e : \mathcal{M}_{[X]} \times \Sigma_3 &\rightarrow X_6 \\ (X, p) &\rightarrow X(p). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

By way of this evaluation map we may pull-back elements of $H^*(X_6)$ to obtain elements of $H^*(\mathcal{M}_{[X]} \times \Sigma_3)$. Furthermore, by restricting such elements of $H^*(\mathcal{M}_{[X]} \times \Sigma_3)$ to an arbitrary $p \in \Sigma_3$ one obtains an element of $H^*(\mathcal{M}_{[X]})$ and thus an observable of the generalized topological sigma model. Let us use this process to obtain a set of observables of the generalized topological sigma model.

Consider a set of cohomology elements Y_a on X_6 where $a = 1, \dots, s$ with Y_a of degree q_a . Employing our above construction and a choice of points $p_a \in \Sigma_3$ we may define a set of observables $\mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a) \equiv e^*(Y_a)(p_a)$ corresponding to the cohomology elements Y_a on X_6 . Let us consider the computation of the correlation function,

$$\langle \prod_a \mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a) \rangle_{[X]} = \int_{B[X]} DX D\chi^i D b_0 D C_0 D d_1 D E_1 e^{-W_\psi} \prod_a \mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a). \quad (2.39)$$

From our above discussion we know that $\prod_a \mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a)$ must be a top-form on $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$. However, as Y_a is a form of degree q_a on X_6 , $\mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a)$ is a form of degree q_a on $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$. Hence, the above path integral is non-zero if, and only if,

$$\sum_a q_a = \text{Dim}(\mathcal{M}_{[X]}). \quad (2.40)$$

Now, by way of our previous arguments, we know that $\text{Dim}(\mathcal{M}_{[X]}) = a_{[X]} = 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ consists of a discrete set of points. This, in turn, implies that $\sum_a q_a = 0$, and thus, $q_a = 0$ for all a . So, in other words, each $\mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a)$ is a zero-form on $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$. As $\text{Dim}(\mathcal{M}_{[X]}) = 0$, the computation of the correlation function (2.39) reduces to a sum of terms; each term in the sum corresponds to the contribution of one point in $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ to the correlation function. So, we must compute the contribution of an arbitrary point of $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ to the correlation function. In doing this, one finds that each point contributes a factor $\mathcal{N}_{[X]}$ dependent upon the boson and fermion determinants at that point. However, as a result of BRST symmetry, one finds [7] that $\mathcal{N}_{[X]} = 1$. Thus, if we denote the number of points in $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ as $\#\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$, then we find

$$\langle \prod_a \mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a) \rangle_{[X]} = \#\mathcal{M}_{[X]} e^{-S_0} \prod_a \mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a). \quad (2.41)$$

If we sum over homotopy classes, we obtain,

$$\langle \prod_a \mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a) \rangle = \sum_{[X]} \#\mathcal{M}_{[X]} e^{-S_0} \prod_a \mathcal{O}_{Y_a}(p_a). \quad (2.42)$$

Hence, we have computed the correlation function in this particular case. Let us now see how this relates to the “physics” of M-Theory on X_6 .

3. Physics of the Generalized Topological Sigma Model

In this section we will examine the implications that the generalized topological sigma model has for the physics of M-Theory compactified on a six-dimensional Calabi-Yau. First, however, we will examine a class of generalized topological sigma model observables which are a bit more general than those we have studied up until now.

3.1. Generalized Observables

In this subsection we will examine a new class of generalized topological sigma model observables.

Consider the evaluation map e we employed earlier to construct observables for the generalized topological sigma model. By way of e we can pull-back elements of $H^*(X_6)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{[X]} \otimes \Sigma_3$. Earlier, we simply restricted such a pull-back to a $p \in \Sigma_3$. However, now we will do something a bit more general

Consider a p -form $Y \in H^p(X_6)$. By way of e we may pull Y back to $\mathcal{M}_{[X]} \otimes \Sigma_3$ to obtain a p -form $e^*(Y)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{[X]} \otimes \Sigma_3$. Now, consider a homology element $y_H \in H_q(\Sigma_3)$. In integrating $e^*(Y)$ over y_H one obtains a $(p - q)$ -form on $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$; namely

$$i_*(e^*(Y)) = \int_{y_H} e^*(Y). \quad (3.1)$$

Furthermore, as χ^i is a one-form on $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ and has ghost number 1, $i_*(e^*(Y))$, being a $(p - q)$ -form, has ghost number $(p - q)$. Also, as $e^*(Y)$ defines an element of $H^p(\mathcal{M}_{[X]} \otimes \Sigma_3)$, so $i_*(e^*(Y))$ defines an element of $H^{p-q}(\mathcal{M}_{[X]})$ and thus an observable of the generalized topological sigma model. So, what we have is a family of observables. One can take a $Y \in H^p(X_6)$ and obtain a set of various observables $i_*(e^*(Y))$ dependent upon the y_H one chooses to integrate over.

In addition to this family of observables, we also obtain a family of generalized topological sigma models from this construction. Consider letting d_I be a symplectic basis for $H^3(X_6)$. If we choose as our homology element Σ_3 , then this leads to a set of observables,

$$\int_{\Sigma_3} e^*(d_I). \quad (3.2)$$

With these observables we can define another generalized topological sigma model by choosing a vector $t^I \in \mathbf{R}^{b_3}$, where b_3 is the third Betti number of X_6 . This vector allows us to define a perturbation of our original action given by,

$$W(t^I) = W + \left(\frac{1}{L_P^3} \right) \int_{\Sigma_3} it^I e^*(d_I). \quad (3.3)$$

One should note a few things about this action. First, as d_I is a three-form and Σ_3 three-dimensional, $\int_{\Sigma_3} e^*(d_I)$ has ghost number 0. Thus, this family of generalized topological sigma models conserves ghost number. Also, one could consider an even more general family of generalized topological sigma models by starting with a form of degree greater than three. However, we will not consider such theories here. Let us now employ all of this to establish a connection between M-Theory and our generalized topological sigma model.

3.2. The M-Theory Connection

In this subsection we will establish a connection between M-Theory and the generalized topological sigma model. As was established some time ago [6], M-Theory on a six-dimensional Calabi-Yau has a term in the low-energy effective action of the form,

$$\int d^5x \sqrt{g} \bar{\psi}^I \psi^J \bar{\psi}^K \psi^L R_{IJKL}, \quad (3.4)$$

where ψ^I are fermions and R_{IJKL} is a Riemann curvature on the hypermultiplet moduli space [5]. One then can compute the corrections to this four-fermion coupling from a space-time point-of-view to obtain a correction [5],

$$e^{-S_0} \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_I) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_J) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_K) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_L). \quad (3.5)$$

This is the correction for a given homotopy class $[X]$, represented by X , under the assumption $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ consists of a single point.

Now, as we found in the previous subsection, we can introduce a set of observables of the generalized topological sigma model which are related to the symplectic basis d_I for $H^3(X_6)$. They are,

$$\mathcal{O}_I \equiv \int_{\Sigma_3} e^*(d_I). \quad (3.6)$$

However, looking at the definition of e we may equivalently write these as,

$$\mathcal{O}_I \equiv \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_I). \quad (3.7)$$

In comparing this to the world-volume observable used in [5] to compute the correction (3.5), one finds that this is both an observable for the low-energy effective world-volume theory and an observable for the generalized topological sigma model. Thus, looking at [5] we can see exactly what we must do, consider the correlation function of four such observables for a given homotopy class $[X]$,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_I \mathcal{O}_J \mathcal{O}_K \mathcal{O}_L \rangle_{[X]} = \int_{B_{[X]}} DX D\chi D b_0 D C_0 D d_1 D E_1 e^{-W_\psi} \mathcal{O}_I \mathcal{O}_J \mathcal{O}_K \mathcal{O}_L. \quad (3.8)$$

Now, if this integral is non-trivial, then the product of the $\mathcal{O}$'s must be a top-form on instanton moduli space. But, as d_I is a three-form and Σ_3 three-dimensional, each $\mathcal{O}$ is a zero-form on instanton moduli space. However, as we have found $a_{[X]} = \text{Dim}(\mathcal{M}_{[X]}) = 0$,

the dimensions of $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ and $\mathcal{O}_I \cdots \mathcal{O}_L$ match up. Thus, this integral reduces to a sum where each term in the sum corresponds to the contribution of one point in $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ to the integral. If there exists only a single point in $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$, as assumed in [5], then this reduces to

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_I \mathcal{O}_J \mathcal{O}_K \mathcal{O}_L \rangle_{[X]} = e^{-S_0} \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_I) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_J) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_K) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_L). \quad (3.9)$$

This is exactly the result (3.5) obtained by Stromminger et. al. in their field theoretic computation [5]. So, we have found that we can compute corrections to the four fermion couplings in M-Theory on a six-dimensional Calabi-Yau by simply computing correlation functions in this generalized topological sigma model. A relatively novel result. More generally, assuming $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ consists of $\sharp \mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ points,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_I \mathcal{O}_J \mathcal{O}_K \mathcal{O}_L \rangle_{[X]} = \sharp \mathcal{M}_{[X]} e^{-S_0} \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_I) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_J) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_K) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_L). \quad (3.10)$$

Which implies,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_I \mathcal{O}_J \mathcal{O}_K \mathcal{O}_L \rangle = \sum_{[X]} \sharp \mathcal{M}_{[X]} e^{-S_0} \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_I) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_J) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_K) \int_{\Sigma_3} X^*(d_L). \quad (3.11)$$

This is the generalization of the result of Stromminger et. al. [5] to the case in which $\mathcal{M}_{[X]}$ has more than a single point.

4. Conclusion

In this article we have constructed a generalized topological sigma model and examined various properties of this model. In particular, we found that this model will allow us to calculate the corrections to four-fermion couplings in M-Theory on a six-dimensional Calabi-Yau, a useful trick for a topological field theory. However, we should note that we have not specified the topology of Σ_3 in (3.11). If the standard topological sigma model is any hint, then upon understanding how to obtain the generalized topological sigma model by way of a “twist,” we will understand what Σ_3 to employ above. More generally, we should note that it is possible to relatively easily construct similar generalized topological sigma models by examining the world-volume actions of other p -branes. So, various fermion couplings may be computed in a similar manner by constructing and examining the correct generalized topological sigma model. It would be fruitful to have a full enumeration of such generalized topological sigma models and the fermion couplings which they allow one to compute. We leave this as an exercise for the interested reader.

References

- [1] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin, and P. Townsend, “Supermembranes and Eleven Dimensional Supergravity,” *Physics Letters Volume B189*, (Pg 75)
- [2] M. Gromov, “Pseudo Holomorphic Curves in Symplectic Manifolds,” *Inventiones Mathematicae* **82**, 307-347 (1985)
- [3] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems* (1992) Princeton University Press, Princeton New Jersey.
- [4] L. Baulieu and I. Singer, “The Topological Sigma Model,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **125** 227 (1989)
- [5] K. Becker, M. Becker and A. Stromminger, “Fivebranes, Membranes and Non-Perturbative String Theory,” hep-th/9507158
- [6] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra, and P. Townsend, “The Geometry of $N = 2$ Maxwell Einstein Supergravity and Jordan Algebras”, *Nuclear Physics B* **242** (1984) 244
- [7] E. Witten, “Mirror Manifolds and Topological Field Theory,” hep-th/9112056; IASSNS-HEP-91/83; also in *Essays on Mirror Manifolds*, ed. by S.T. Yau, International Press, 1992